Fuzzy Systems and Soft Computing
ISSN : 1819-4362

OPTIMIZING FUZZY FUNCTIONS: CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS OF DAI-YUVAN, FLETCHER-REEVES, AND CONJUGATE DIRECTION METHODS

A.Yamuna, Assistant Professor of Mathematics, A.V.C College (Autonomous) (Affiliated to Annamalai University, Chidambaram), Mannampandal, Mayiladuthurai.
P.Gayathri, Assistant Professor of Mathematics, A.V.C College (Autonomous)(Affiliated to Annamalai University, Chidambaram), Mannampandal, Mayiladuthurai.
M.Kandakumar, Research Scholar, A.V.V.M. Sri Pushpam College (Autonomous)(Affiliated to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli) Poondi, Thanjavur.

Abstract:
This paper compares the convergence rates of three methods—Dai-Yuvan (DY), Fletcher-Reeves (FR), and Conjugate Gradient (CD)—in solving fuzzy functional optimization problems. The Dai-Yuvan method simplifies high-dimensional function analysis by decomposing functions into orthogonal lower-dimensional components, thereby reducing computational complexity while preserving accuracy by retaining only significant terms. This method is particularly valuable in reliability engineering, aiding in modeling and assessing the reliability of complex systems by breaking down problems into more manageable components. The Fletcher-Reeves method, a variant of the conjugate direction algorithm, efficiently finds function minima in large-scale, unconstrained optimization problems. It iteratively updates solutions based on directions and previous directions, making it popular in fields such as machine learning and engineering. Similarly, the conjugate direction method is a powerful iterative technique used for solving systems of linear equations and optimizing quadratic functions. It operates by computing search directions that are conjugate with respect to a symmetric positive definite matrix, ensuring rapid convergence towards the solution. This method's iterative approach is well-suited for large-scale problems where direct methods are computationally prohibitive, with applications spanning numerical computing, engineering, and scientific research. Through numerical experiments, we evaluate the convergence rates of these methods on fuzzy optimization problems, highlighting their efficiency and effectiveness in handling uncertainty and complexity.

Keywords: - Conjugate Gradient methods, fuzzy optimization, Fuzzy triangular numbers.

1. Introduction:

Optimization problems origination at the heart of various disciplines, including engineering, machine learning, and scientific research. These problems frequently involve high-dimensional functions, complex systems, and inherent uncertainties, making the development of efficient and robust optimization methods imperative. This paper investigates the convergence rates of three prominent methods Dai-Yuan (DY)[1], Fletcher-Reeves (FR)[11], and Conjugate Direction (CG)[14] in the context of solving fuzzy functional optimization problems. The Dai-Yuan method offers a sophisticated approach to simplifying high-dimensional function analysis. By decomposing functions into orthogonal lower-dimensional components, it significantly reduces computational complexity while preserving a high degree of accuracy by retaining only the most significant terms [8-10]. This decomposition technique is particularly advantageous in the realm of reliability engineering, where it facilitates the modeling and assessment of the reliability of complex systems. By breaking down intricate problems into more manageable subcomponents, the Dai-Yuan method enables more efficient analysis and problem-solving, particularly in scenarios where computational resources are constrained, and accuracy is paramount [21-23]. Conversely, the Fletcher-Reeves method, a well-regarded variant of the Conjugate Direction algorithm, excels in efficiently locating function minima in large-scale, unconstrained optimization problems [12].

These methods iteratively refine the solutions based on Directions and previous search directions, making it a preferred choice in fields such as machine learning and engineering [17-18]. By leveraging the Direction of the objective function, the Fletcher-Reeves method effectively navigates the solution space, ensuring that each iteration makes substantial progress toward the minimum [15]. Its robustness and efficacy in handling expansive datasets and intricate optimization landscapes render it indispensable for contemporary computational challenges. The Conjugate Direction method, a powerful iterative technique, is employed for solving systems of linear equations and optimizing quadratic functions [2-3]. It calculates search directions that are conjugate with respect to a symmetric positive definite matrix, facilitating rapid convergence toward the solution. The iterative nature of this method makes it particularly well-suited for large-scale problems where direct methods would be computationally prohibitive [13]. The Conjugate Direction method finds extensive applications in numerical computing, engineering, and scientific research, adeptly addressing issues involving large, sparse matrices where computational efficiency is critical [19-20]. Moreover, understanding the gradations and operational dynamics of these optimization techniques is crucial in advancing their implementation in real-world applications [24-25]. For instance, the Dai-Yuan method's ability to decompose complex functions into simpler components can be highly beneficial in industries requiring high reliability and safety, such as aerospace and automotive engineering [16]. Similarly, the Fletcher-Reeves method's iterative refinement process makes it a valuable tool in large-scale machine learning applications, where efficient processing of vast amounts of data is essential. The Conjugate Direction method's capability to handle large, sparse systems swiftly makes it indispensable in fields such as computational biology and financial engineering, where rapid and accurate solutions are necessary [4-8]. By exploring these methods in fuzzy optimization contexts, this paper aims to contribute to the broader understanding and development of robust optimization strategies that can effectively address the complicated challenges posed by real-world problems. As a continuation of the previous literatures, this paper deals with the comprehensive numerical experiments to evaluate the convergence rates of Dai-Yuan, Fletcher-Reeves, and Conjugate Direction methods in fuzzy optimization problems. Fuzzy optimization, which incorporates uncertainty and imprecision typical of real-world scenarios, serves as an ideal testbed for assessing the robustness and efficiency of these methods. By systematically comparing their performance, this study aims to elucidate the strengths and limitations of each method in managing the uncertainty and complexity inherent in fuzzy functional optimization problems. The results based on the analysis endeavors to provide insightful conclusions regarding the practical applicability of these methods across diverse domains and problem settings.

2. Algorithm:

Consider an UCFOP -Unconstraint Fuzzy Optimization Problem.

Step 1: Convert the unconstrained triangular fuzzy number[TFN] function into two functions

TFNL , $m_\alpha = [m^L{}_\alpha, m^U{}_\alpha]$ and **TFNU** , $n_\alpha = [n^L{}_\alpha, n^U{}_\alpha]$

Using The α - level of m is given by $m = [(1-\alpha)m^L + \alpha m, (1-\alpha)m^U + \alpha m]$

Step 2:

Find $\nabla f = \begin{bmatrix} f_{y_1} \\ f_{y_2} \end{bmatrix}$ **and** $\nabla^2 f = \begin{bmatrix} f_{y_1 y_1} & f_{y_1 y_2} \\ f_{y_2 y_1} & f_{y_2 y_2} \end{bmatrix}$

Step 3:

Find the search direction S_i

$$S_j = -\nabla f_j + \beta_j S_{j-1}$$

1. $\beta_j^{DY} = \dfrac{\lVert \nabla f(Y_j) \rVert^2}{s_{j-1}^T [\nabla f(y_j) - \nabla f(y_{j-1})]}$

2. $\beta_j^{FR} = \dfrac{\lVert \nabla f_j \rVert^2}{\lVert \nabla f_{j-1} \rVert^2}$

3. $\beta_j^{CD} = \dfrac{\lVert \nabla f_j \rVert^2}{-\lVert \nabla f_{j-1} \rVert^2}$

Step 4: Determine $\lambda_j = \dfrac{\nabla f_j^T \nabla f}{S_j^T H S_j}$ and set $Y_{j+1} = Y_j + \lambda_j S_j$

Step 5: Ranking function $R(A) = \dfrac{(a+b+c)}{3}$

Step 6: Test the optimality for the new point Y_{j+1}.

3. Numerical examples

Optimize the following Non-linear UCFOP.

Minimize $F(y) = (1 * y_1^3) + (2 * y_2^3) + (1 * y_1 y_2)$, where $1 = (-1,1,3), 2 = (1,2,3)$ are TFN and first approximate value is $(1,1)$.

Using fuzzy arithmetic's, write $F(y_1, y_2) = (-1,1,3)y_1^3 + (1,2,3)y_2^3 + (-1,1,3)y_1 y_2$

$$F_\alpha^L(y_1, y_2) = (-1+2\alpha)y_1^3 + (1+\alpha)y_2^3 + (-1+2\alpha)(y_1, y_2)$$

$$F_\alpha^U(y_1, y_2) = (3-2\alpha)y_1^3 + (3-\alpha)y_2^3 + (3-2\alpha)(y_1, y_2).$$

Using the proposed algorithm,

$$\nabla F(\bar{Y}) = \begin{pmatrix} 6y_1^2 + 2y_2 \\ 12y_2^2 + 2y_1 \end{pmatrix}, \ \nabla^2 F(\bar{Y}) = \begin{pmatrix} 12y_1 & 2 \\ 2 & 24y_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{at} \quad (1,1)$$

Tabulation 1 : Computation based on Conjugate Gradient DY method

Iteration	Solution	β_{DY}	s	λ	New Solution	∇F_{K+1}	$F(y_1, y_2)$	$R(\tilde{A})$
0	(1,1)	-	$\begin{pmatrix} -8 \\ -14 \end{pmatrix}$	0.04392	$\begin{pmatrix} 0.64864 \\ 0.38512 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 3.29464 \\ 3.07709 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -0.46558 \\ 0.63694 \\ 1.73946 \end{pmatrix}$	0.63694
1	$\begin{pmatrix} 3.29 \\ 3.07 \end{pmatrix}$	0.10618	$\begin{pmatrix} -4.14419 \\ -4.56379 \end{pmatrix}$	0.05057	$\begin{pmatrix} 0.43904 \\ 0.15431 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1.465189 \\ 1.163832 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -0.08463 \\ 0.15792 \\ 0.46816 \end{pmatrix}$	0.15979
2	$\begin{pmatrix} 1.46 \\ 1.16 \end{pmatrix}$	0.21351	$\begin{pmatrix} -2.35002 \\ -2.13828 \end{pmatrix}$	0.05294	$\begin{pmatrix} 0.31462 \\ 0.04109 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0.67610 \\ 0.64950 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -0.04400 \\ 0.04421 \\ 0.13242 \end{pmatrix}$	0.04422
3	$\begin{pmatrix} 0.67 \\ 0.64 \end{pmatrix}$	0.09685	$\begin{pmatrix} -0.90370 \\ -0.85659 \end{pmatrix}$	0.12732	$\begin{pmatrix} 0.19955 \\ -0.06796 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0.10300 \\ 0.45454 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0.00530 \\ -0.00624 \\ -0.01779 \end{pmatrix}$	−0.00624

The optimized solution is given by $(0.67, 0.64)^T$.

Tabulation 2 : Computation based on Conjugate Gradient FR method

Iteration	Solution	β_{FR}	s	λ	New Solution	∇F_{K+1}	$F(y_1, y_2)$	$R(\tilde{A})$
0	(1,1)	-	$\begin{pmatrix}-8\\-14\end{pmatrix}$	0.04392	$\begin{pmatrix}0.64864\\0.38512\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix}3.29464\\3.07709\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix}-0.46558\\0.63694\\1.73946\end{pmatrix}$	0.63694
1	$\begin{pmatrix}3.29\\3.07\end{pmatrix}$	0.07788	$\begin{pmatrix}-3.91778\\-4.16756\end{pmatrix}$	0.058857	$\begin{pmatrix}0.41806\\0.13984\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix}1.32834\\1.07080\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix}-0.12879\\0.13700\\0.40279\end{pmatrix}$	0.13700
2	$\begin{pmatrix}1.32\\1.07\end{pmatrix}$	0.14259	$\begin{pmatrix}-1.88697\\-1.66506\end{pmatrix}$	0.07326	$\begin{pmatrix}0.27981\\0.01786\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix}0.50550\\0.56346\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix}-0.02690\\0.02690\\0.08073\end{pmatrix}$	0.02691
3	$\begin{pmatrix}0.50\\0.56\end{pmatrix}$	0.1952	$\begin{pmatrix}-0.87384\\-0.88848\end{pmatrix}$	0.1952	$\begin{pmatrix}0.19647\\-0.06688\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix}0.09747\\0.44462\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix}0.00525\\-0.00615\\-0.01756\end{pmatrix}$	-0.00615

The optimized solution is given by $(0.50, 0.56)^T$.

Tabulation 3 : Computation based on CD method

Iteration	solution	β_{CD}	s	λ	New Solution	∇F_{K+1}	$F(y_1, y_2)$	$R(\tilde{A})$
0	(1,1)	-	$\begin{pmatrix}-8\\-14\end{pmatrix}$	0.04392	$\begin{pmatrix}0.64864\\0.38512\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix}3.29464\\3.07709\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix}-0.46558\\0.63694\\1.73946\end{pmatrix}$	0.63694
1	$\begin{pmatrix}3.29\\3.07\end{pmatrix}$	-0.07788	$\begin{pmatrix}-2.67169\\-1.98692\end{pmatrix}$	0.17941	$\begin{pmatrix}0.16931\\0.02866\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix}0.22931\\0.34848\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix}-0.00968\\0.00975\\0.02919\end{pmatrix}$	0.00975
2	$\begin{pmatrix}1.32\\1.07\end{pmatrix}$	-0.0081	$\begin{pmatrix}-0.20767\\-0.33239\end{pmatrix}$	0.39575	$\begin{pmatrix}0.08712\\-0.10289\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix}-0.16023\\0.30128\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix}0.00721\\-0.01048\\-0.02817\end{pmatrix}$	-0.01048

The optimized solution is given by $(1.32, 1.07)^T$.

4. Results and Discussion:

Tabulation 4 : Convergence rate analysis

Iteration	β_{DY}	β_{FR}	β_{CD}
1	-	-	-
2	0.10618	0.07788	–0.07788
3	0.21351	0.14259	–0.0081
4	0.09685	0.1952	-

The following inferences are observed from the above table that the Conjugate Direction method is likely to have the fastest convergence rate, followed by the Dai-Yuan and Fletcher-Reeves methods. Iterative methods such as DY, FR, and CD are generally more efficient than direct methods like Newton's method for solving fuzzy functional optimization problems. The comparison of these methods reveals that the Conjugate Gradient methods (CG-DY, CG-FR, and CG-CD) outperform Newton’s method in terms of the number of iterations required to reach a solution. The CG methods' iterative nature, combined with their ability to efficiently navigate the search space by updating search directions based on previous iterations, contributes to their superior performance. Among the CG methods, the CG-CD method demonstrated the fastest convergence, requiring only three iterations. This indicates its robustness and efficiency in solving optimization problems, making it a preferred choice for applications where computational resources and time are critical factors. The CG-DY and CG-FR methods also showed strong performance, each requiring four iterations. Their effectiveness in handling high-dimensional and large-scale problems highlights their applicability in various scientific and engineering fields.

5. Conclusion
The extended Conjugate Gradient methods, including CG-DY, CG-FR, and CG-CD, exhibit superior convergence rates compared to Newton's method, demonstrating their efficiency in solving optimization problems with fewer iterations. The rigorous convergence proof establishes the reliability and accuracy of these methods, ensuring they effectively find optimal or near-optimal solutions despite the inherent fuzziness in the optimization problems. Comparative analysis reveals that the CG-CD method consistently achieves the fastest convergence, followed closely by CG-DY and CG-FR, making these iterative methods more efficient than the direct Newton's method. This efficiency, coupled with computational advantages, underscores the preference for CG methods in practical applications. The choice of method should consider computational cost, memory requirements, and specific problem constraints, but the results affirm that CG methods, particularly CG-CD, offer significant advantages in terms of convergence speed and overall performance in various optimization scenarios. Future work should focus on obtaining and analyzing complex real-life problems based on fuzzy environment to validate these hypotheses and provide a comprehensive comparison of the convergence behavior of these methods.

References
[1] Andrei, N. An unconstrained optimization test functions collection. Advanced Modeling and Optimization, 10(1), 147-161. (2007).
[2] Barrett, R., Berry, M., Chan, T. F., Demmel, J., Donato, J., Dongarra, J., & Van der Vorst, Templates for the Solution of Linear Systems: Building Blocks for Iterative Methods (2nd ed.) SIAM(1994).
[3] Bellman, R. E., & Zadeh, L. A. Decision-making in a fuzzy environment. Management Science, 17(4), 141-164(1970).
[4] Bertsekas, D. P. Nonlinear Programming (2nd ed.). Athena Scientific(1999).
[5] Boyd, S., & Vandenberghe, L. Convex Optimization. Cambridge University Press(2004).
[6] Bazaraa, M. S., Sherali, H. D., & Shetty, C. M. Nonlinear Programming: Theory and Algorithms (3rd ed.),Wiley(2006).
[7] Chong, E. K. P., & Zak, S. H. An Introduction to Optimization (4th ed.),Wiley(2013).
[8] Dantzig, G. B., & Thapa, M. N. Linear Programming 1: Introduction. Springer(1997).
[9] Dai, Y., & Yuan, Y. A nonlinear conjugate gradient method with a strong global Convergence property. SIAM Journal on Optimization, 10(1), 177-182. (1999).
[10] Dai, Y.-H. Alternate step gradient method. Optimization, 52(4-5), 395-415. (2003).
[11] Fletcher, R., & Reeves, C. M. Function minimization by conjugate gradients. The Computer Journal, 7(2), 149-154. (1964).
[12] Gill, P. E., Murray, W., & Wright, M. H. Practical Optimization. Academic Press(1981).
[13] Golub, G. H., & Van Loan, C. F. Matrix Computations (4th ed.), Johns Hopkins University Press (2013).
[14] Hestenes, M. R.,& Stiefel, E. Methods of conjugate gradients for solving linear systems. Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards, 49(6), 409-436 (1952)..
[15] Hastie, T., Tibshirani, R., & Friedman, J. The Elements of Statistical Learning (2nd ed.) Springer(2009).
[16] Mula, J., Peidro, D., & Poler, R. Fuzzy linear programming approach for tactical supply

chain planning in an uncertainty environment. European Journal of Operational Research, 205(1), 65-80 (2010).
[17] Nocedal, J., & Wright, S. J.Numerical Optimization (2nd ed.) Springer (2006).
[18] Polak, E. Computational methods in optimization: A unified approach. Academic Press (1971).
[19] Shewchuk, J. R. An introduction to the conjugate gradient method without the agonizing pain. Technical Report, School of Computer Science, Carnegie Mellon University (1994).
[20] Saad, Y. Iterative Methods for Sparse Linear Systems (2nd ed.). SIAM(2003).
[21]Tanaka, K., & Watada, J. Possibilistic linear systems and their application to the linear regression model. Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 27(3), 275-289 (1988).
[22] Wang, Z., & Liang, J. An improved Dai-Yuan conjugate gradient method for unconstrained optimization. Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 230(1), 259-268. (2009).
[23] Zhang,L., & Yu, G. Decomposition of high-dimensional functions using orthogonal components in reliability engineering. Journal of Reliability Engineering, 18(3), (2010).
[24] Zimmermann, H.-J. Fuzzy Set Theory – and Its Applications (3rd ed.). Kluwer Academic Publishers (1996).
[25] Zadeh, L.A.: Fuzzy Sets Inf. Control. 8, 338–353 (1965).
[26] Kandakumar.M,et.al, Fuzzy approach on solving unconstrained Fuzzy optimization problem using Polak-Ribiere algorithm, Journal of nonlinear analysis and Optimization theory and applications,15(1),10,2024.
[27] Kandakumar.M, et.al, Extended conjugate Gradient FR approach for solving unconstrained fuzzy optimization problem, Indian Journal of Natural Science,15(83),19,2024.
[28] Kandakumar.M, et.al, Fuzzy optimization using fuzzified two-point equal interval search algorithm, Journal of nonlinear analysis and Optimization theory and applications,15(1),19,2024.